

United States Patent and Trademark Office



0,0

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/955,350 | 09/18/2001 | Robert S. Harris | 3177-68838 | 2173 |
| 23643 | 7590 03/14/2003 | | | |
| BARNES & THORNBURG | | | EXAMINER | |
| 11 SOUTH MERIDIAN INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204 | | | HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE | |
| • | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3727 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/955,350 | HARRIS, ROBERT S. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Robin Hylton | 3727 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b |)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | n and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the E | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any object | = | • • | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed o | , | disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are requi | • • | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by | y the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo | r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority do | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been received in A | Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office action f | onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | • | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | uage provisional application has b | peen received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | • | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape | -948) 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 6 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/955,350

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the torsion spring" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

As a result of using "signal means", claims 19 and 23 fail to meet the 3-prong analysis of a "means plus function" claim set forth in the "Supplemental Examination Guidelines" effective June 21, 2000 and published in the Official Gazette on July 25, 2000. If applicant desires to invoke 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, applicant must show that the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and does not recite sufficient structure, material, or acts which would preclude application of 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph. Currently, the claims recite structure for the limitation.

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3,5,6,19,23,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris (US 5,638,975). See the drawing figures.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/955,350

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris (US 5,638,975) in view of Harris et al. (US 5,794,806).

Harris '975 teaches the claimed cap except for a spring coupled to the handle and torque-transmission member and to the torque-transmission member and the bas member.

Harris '806 teaches it is known to provide a spring coupled to the handle and torquetransmission member and to the torque-transmission member and the bas member.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a spring coupled to the handle and torque-transmission member and to the torque-transmission member and the bas member. Doing so provides axial movement between the cap parts in a resting position to maintain a seal between the base member and the filler neck while the cap handle and transmission parts allow for movement of the upper cap to compensate for over rotation.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various filler neck caps are cited for their disclosures.
- 7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 305-3579. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify

Application/Control Number: 09/955,350

Art Unit: 3727

the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

8. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

| The L | I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 305-3579 on the date shown below: | to |
|-------|---|----|
| | Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate | |
| | Signature | |
| | Date | |

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH March 8, 2003

Patent Examiner GAU 3727